

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,240	03/29/2004	Ronald F. Balingit		2212
7:	590 05/05/2005	·	EXAM	INER
Ronald F. Bal			HERTZOG, ARDITH E	
6320 Meadow l Agoura Hills, 0			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1754	
		•	DATE MAILED: 05/05/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	dviso	ry Act	ion	
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/812,240	BALINGIT, RONALD F.
Examiner	Art Unit
Ardith E. Hertzog	1754

	Ardith E. Hertzog	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		San Alica Pro II in Article II	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beau appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		·	•
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut before on our the data of files - Al	-4'£ A1'!!	4 la a - a - 4 a - a - a - 4
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal Will no vit or other evidence is	it be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
<del></del>			
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s).	Bor
		STEVEN	BOS

STEVEN BOS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100



Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) Application Number: 10/812,240

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has not yet overcome the following rejection(s): HOWEVER,

*IF* the amendment were to be submitted in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, *THEN* the following rejections would be overcome:

all 35 USC 112 rejections of the claims set forth in the final rejection (specifically paragraphs 10., 12. & 13.); and the 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 10-17 set forth in the final rejection (specifically paragraphs 15.-16. (noting that, as correctly surmised by applicant, claim 10 was inadvertently omitted from the opening statement of this rejection)). In addition, the new matter objection set forth in the final rejection (specifically paragraph 2.) would be mooted.

Continuation of 13. Other: AGAIN,

IF the amendment were to be submitted in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, THEN:

Applicant's arguments concerning the combination of prior art references applied against the claims in the final rejection **would be** found persuasive, with such arguments as set forth in section 9. (B) of applicant's amendment expressly incorporated by reference herein. In particular, as stressed by applicant – and as **would be recited in the proposed amended independent claim 10** – the primary reference, Ashley et al., does:

not want water or adhesive material on the inner wall of the tower because of the possibility of uncontrolled solids build-up, [whereas] the present invention wants water and adhesive material on said solid surface. The present invention wants water vapor to condense on said solid surface and for this reason requires that the temperature of said solid surface to not exceed the dew point temperature of the gas. ... The formation of deposits or solids build-up, as Ashley et al., call it, is in fact the very essence of the present invention. (applicant's amendment at p. 8, 2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph, emphasis added)

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardith E. Hertzog at telephone number (571) 272-1347. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (from about 8:30 a.m. - 4:30 p.m., E.S.T.). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman, can be reached at (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. For any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/812,240	BALINGIT, RONALD F.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ardith E. Hertzog	1754	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on 22 February 2005 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other
<ul> <li>2. Abstract:</li> <li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.</li> <li>B. Other <u>A replacement abstract with markings to show all changes relative to the immediate prior version must be submitted (again, on a separate sheet)</u>.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>✓ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.</li> <li>✓ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)</li> <li>✓ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).</li> <li>✓ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.</li> <li>✓ E. Other: Please note the "further explanation" references/resources given below.</li> </ul>
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf">http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf</a> .

#### TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
- 2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

## Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quavle action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.